2020 Wildfires Watershed Restoration

Lessons Learned from the East Troublesome and Cameron Peak Fires

AFTER THE FLAMES

April 17, 2024

Sean Chambers Director of Water & Sewer Utilities, City of Greeley





Esther Vincent Environmental Services Director, Northern Water Todd Boldt Assistant State Conservationist – Water Resources, NRCS



East Troublesome & Cameron Peak

1

The Fires The Impacts

. The Work



Chambers Lake (Cameron Peak Fire)







Private

Burn Area



Es



Northern Colorado Water Conservation District 220 Water Avenue Berthoud, CO USA (800) 369-7246 | Esri, NASA, NGA, US

East Troublesome and Cameron Peak Wildfire Impacts



Water Quality Impacts



Flood After Fire







Black Hollow





Another Black Hollow

Post-Fire Watershed Protection



Phase I

Emergency Watershed Protection Program (NRCS)

- Private Lands
- USFS Land (Pilot)



Phase II Federal Lands: BLM & USFS

- Cooperative Agreement
- Participating Agreement



Phase III

USFS

• Participating Agreement



Phase IV

USFS

- Restoration (ctd) (NFF/TU)
- Revegetation (AF)

Life & Property Protection & Mulching

Mulching

Watershed Restoration

EWP Overview



Objective

Provide assistance on private lands to relieve imminent hazards to life & property created by a natural occurrence that causes a sudden impairment of a watershed.



Primary Goals

Reduce erosion, sedimentation and threat of damage from future flooding.

Generalized EWP Implementation Process



EWP works through a Sponsor







A project sponsor is a unit of State or local government, or a State agency, or a Native American Tribe or Tribal organization. Sponsor needs to have the 3 C's: Capacity, Capability & Commitment Sponsor doesn't have to be an EWP expert. NRCS will guide through the process.

Sponsor Responsibilities



Obtain necessary landowner permissions.

HEAVY LIFT

Obtain required permits.

Ensure operation and maintenance (O&M) of installed emergency measures.

3



2020 Wildfires EWP Costs



TOTAL (To Date) \$69,440,560

		\$80,000,000		<i>\$03,440,300</i>	
	Cameron Peak				
East Troublesome		\$70,000,000			
		\$60,000,000		\$14,303,838	
		\$50,000,000			
Pine Gulch	Grizzly Cr	\$40,000,000		\$55,136,722	
		\$30,000,000			
		\$20,000,000			
		\$10,000,000			
Calw	vood	\$0			
			Cost		
				NRCS Local Spo	nsor

INNCS

Wildfire Watershed Restoration Process Improvement Workgroup (WWRPI)



Technical Process



Background



2020 Wildfire – After Action Report



Identify areas for improvement regarding postfire watershed recovery May 2021 – Jan 2023



Report Dissemination







Stakeholders (33)

City of Greeley	Colorado Dept of Ag	BLM	Freemyer and Associates	CFRI	CWCB	DNR (BAER)
CSU Water Center	JW Associates	Northern Water	CDOT	СОСО	CUSP	Arkansas River Watershed Collaborative
CSCB	Kogovsek and Associates	DHSEM	NRCS	CPRW	Grand County	USFS
Enginuity	USGS	Colorado Geological Survey	City of Fort Collins	Brandeberry- McKenna	MPCD	DNR
	NPS	Larimer County	Mile High Flood District	CRWCD	CSFS	

Policy Focus Group Take Aways

Liability associated with implementing watershed restoration projects

Making better use of the BAER Program

Sustainably funded Postfire Watershed Restoration Working across jurisdictional boundaries

Process Focus Group Take Aways

Managing outreach and engagement with Private Landowners Need for Understanding of Agency and Stakeholder Roles in Postfire Watershed Recovery

Resources and State Guidance for Recovering Communities Seamless Transition from Fire Suppression to Watershed Recovery



Technical Focus Group Take Aways

Coordination of Assessments crossjurisdictionally Standardizing GIS-Based Technical Platforms and Creating Data Hubs

Coordination and Standardization of Data Collection, Modeling and Assessments

Geographic scope of assessment to extend downstream



Who Are The Recommendations For?







Some are aimed at legislators

Some are for everyone in Post-Fire Watershed Recovery Others are for specific groups/agencies within Post-Fire Watershed Recovery

Other Challenges & Observations

Watershed Restoration was not a fit for FEMA BAER program not a resource beyond assessment & agency interests EWP most accessible resource but only for private lands

State Funding critical for federal match (25%) Environmental Permitting often critical path Capacity, Commitment, Capability







Lessons Learned Report

Successful implementation of recommendations requires *support from the many local, state, and federal agencies and organizations* that play a part in postfire watershed recovery

Recommendations

DISTRIBUTION

BLM	Colorado State Conservation Board	County Governments (OEMs/LEPCs)
CWCB	DHSEM	Federal Legislators
MHFD, FTAP	NPS	NRCS
State Legislators	USFS	Water Conservancy Districts
	Water Utilities	

Progress Report

...as of April 2024



From Recommendations to Actions

NRCS/USFS MOU & IAA

Wildfire Ready Watersheds

Colorado Post Fire Recovery Play Book v2.0

USFS EWP

Draft Bill

Wildfire Crisis Strategy

Cobaer

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING The United States Forest Service The Natural Resources Conservation Service

The purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is to establish a collaborative relationship Ine purpose of this Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is to establish a collaborative relationship between the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the United States Forest Service (FS) between the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NKCS) and the United States Forest Service (while carrying out watershed protection measures. The Agencies enter into this MOU to coordinate while earlying our watersnes projection measures. The Agencies enter into this MOU to coordinate flooding and soil erosion protection for communities in proximity to National Forest System (NFS). There are opportunities for the Agencies to cooperate under their respective authorities as well as there are opportunities for the Agencies to cooperate under their respective authorities as well a to provide each other with needed services and technical expertise. Cooperative management and to provide each other with needed services and technical expertise. Cooperative nanagement and technical assistance efforts help to improve the delivery of watershed protection on NFS lands for the purpose of safeguarding lives and property on non-federal lands.

watershed protection measures, including the purchase of Hoodplain easements, for runoff retardation and soll-crossion prevention, in cooperation with landowner and land users, as the Secretary deems necessary demonstration of an and the secretary deems necessary to safeguard lives and property from floods, drought, 7 whenever fire, flood, or any other natural occurrence

NRCS administers the Emergency Watershed Pro and FS implements the EWP Program in accord the responsibility for requesting funds from the stabilization work in accordance with Forest?

3. MUTUAL AGREEMENT

A. Coordination

(1) The NRCS National EWP Progra the primary points of contact fo collaborative efforts necessary will host quarterly training or requirements, and deliver le

(2) The applicable NRCS Sta primary points of contac completion. During a r coordinate joint opport

16 U.S.C. 2203 establishes that the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to undertake emergency 16 U.S.C. 2203 establishes that the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to undertake emergency watershed protection measures, including the purchase of floodplain easements, for runoff retardation and soliteresian networking in concentration with landowners, not lood weeks as the Secretary Association and sused a sudden impairment of that

To the Forest Service Program Manager, at the address specified in the MOU. To NRCS's address shown in the MOU or such other address designated within the MOU. Notices are effective when delivered in accordance with this provision, or on the effective date of the notice, whichever is later. Authorized Representatives

'y signature below, each party certifies that the individuals listed in this document a the individual parties are authorized to act in their respective areas for matters related to act in their respective areas for matters related to act in the respective are

By signature below, each party certifies that the individuals listed in this document as representative the individual parties are authorized to act in their respective areas for matters related to this MOU. acess whereof, the parties hereto have executed this MOU as of the last date written below. UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE RANDY MOORE 2023.03.21 09:14:59 -04'00' Kanay Moore Chief, United States Forest Service

NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE

Terry Cosby Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service

Terry J. Cosby Digitally signed by Terry J. Cosby Date: 2023.03.31 14:45:41-06100

Making EWP Work for **National Forests**

Formalize collaboration

NRCS administers EWP **Program and Funding**

USFS can request funding from EWP Program

Coordination of

- site assessments
- outreach to sponsors and public
- program implementation

Distribution of responsibilities between private lands and National Forest

Interagency Agreement to exchange funding between agencies

Draft EWP Bill for National Forests

What? To restore forest health and related resources	Scope NFS land or the watershed	Purpose • Emergency watershed protection • To safeguard lives, property and infrastructure	Allows funding of the local sponsor to work on NFS
Waves match requirements	Language to address liability	NRCS coordinates funds	Permitting: Streamlined NEPA in response to emergency

Wildfire Ready Watersheds

WRW



Colorado Post-Fire Recovery Playbook (2021)



USFS Wildfire Crisis Strategy



CONFRONTING THE WILDFIRE CRISIS A Strategy for Protecting Communities and Improving Resilience in America's Forests

Cobaer















Recognitions

Todd Boldt, NRCS

Katlin Miller, Middle Park Conservation District

Brian Craig, Northern Water

Kimberly Tekavec, Northern Water



Questions & Discussion

Todd Boldt Sean Chambers Esther Vincent todd.boldt@usda.gov sean.chambers@greeleygov.com evincent@northernwater.org