Post-Fire Science Needs for Emergency Response, Hazards & Rehabilitation

An online opportunity to discuss the state of post-fire science and identify future needs.



The session will begin shortly.

Thank you for your participation.



Welcome from Coalitions & Collaboratives, Inc.

Carol Ekarius





Welcome to 2020 After the Flames" Virtual Science Symposium



Planning and Organizing Committee Katherine Rowden, NOAA/NWS Nina Oakley, UCSD/SIO/CW3E Jason Kean, USGS Cara Farr, USFS Richard Schwab, NPS Anne Bradley, TNC Jane Mannon, COCO



Goals of this symposium:

- Assess science needs and barriers to communication of science
- Determine communication strategies for post-fire science
- Develop pathways forward for working together in post-fire response





GoToWebinar Interface

| | File View Help ⊕ - & □ ▷ × ▼ Audio Sound Check -■■ ? | 🥚 😑 Calking: Alison Lerch & Maria P | etkash (😳 Waitin |
|------------|--|---|--|
| litigation | Computer audio Phone call No audio Microphone Array (Intel ® Smart Sou | Camera view: This option allows you to choose how you would like speakers/panelists displayed | (I) Viev |
| | Speaker / Headphone (Realtek(R) Au ~ Talking: Ali Lerch • Questions | attention | nd: If you need from tech can raise hand. |
| | Q: Maria we are in listen only mode and are muted by you [Enter a question for staff] | support, | |
| | Send Test snapshots Webinar ID: 588-322-923 This session is being recorded. ESS GOTO/Vebinar | Question Area: Questions only goes to hosts/tech support. Use this to ask for tech help or other conference support. | |



Vaiting to view Jonathan Edward Bruno's screen





A few things before we begin...

- Your mic will be muted and camera turned off throughout conference.
- If you have any technical issues please enter them in chat so our tech team can assist you.
- We will be using Mentimeter for polling and Q&A.
 We recommend having a cell phone or browser
 window handy for this.
- When prompted by moderator, all you need to do to participate is go to menti.com in browser and enter code! Click submit to answer questions.
- Chat: GoToWebinar chat only goes to organizers/presenters. If you would like to make a comment in chat please do, we will do our best to incorporate it in the conversation.



participate is go to panswer questions. enters. If you would our best to incorporate

Panel Discussions, Q&A, and Polling

- All polling is anonymous. For open-ended questions, respond freely but be conscientious and professional.
- Panel member bios are available at: https://aftertheflames.com/science-speakers/, link posted in the chat box.
- Conference summary and attendee list will be sent to all in weeks following conference.
- We have many attendees and fixed time for questions. We will work to have unanswered questions addressed in conference report, or reach out to speaker directly.

Before we start, let's get to know each other a bit through polling!







What sector best represents you?





Federal Agency



What field best represents your expertise?





Earth Science (geology, hydrology, atmospheric,



Do you consider yourself a researcher (creator) or practitioner (user) of post-fire science?







How I feel about my or my organization's preparedness for the upcoming fire/post-fire season:









Rank these items from greatest to least as barriers to your best post-fire response:







Coordination across agencies and/or municipalities





Geological Survey

- How Far We've Come in Post-Fire Science
- REMINDER: Questions after
- Use chat for technical issues



Jeremy Lancaster, California

presentation through Mentimeter







AFTER THE FLAMES CONFERENCE - VIRTUAL 19 MAY 2020









POST-WILDFIRE SCIENCE: HOW FAR WE HAVE COME

JEREMY LANCASTER CALIFORNIA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY GEOLOGIC AND LANDSLIDE MAPPING PROGRAM MANAGER

> California Department of Conservation **California Geological Survey**

Honoring Jerome V. DeGraff (1945-2020) USFS, CSUF

Jerry had a wide impact as an educator and an Engineering Geologist

- Authored or co-authored 100 or more contributions to books, proceedings, and peer reviewed journals.
- Transportation Research Board's Special Report 247 - Landslides: Investigation and Mitigation
- His publications have been cited more than 1,700 times
- He co-authored the textbook Principles of Engineering Geology, which earned the GSA Engineering Geology Division's E.B. Burwell Jr. Award and AEG's Claire P. Holdredge Award.
- GSA Engineering Geology Division Distinguished Practice Award, and AEG and GSA
- 2016-17 Richard H. Jahns Distinguished Lecturer position

 he presented 103 lectures in 26 states and 3 Canadian
 provinces to about 3,250 students and professionals.



nd Nick, and grandson Liam

Honoring Jerome V. DeGraff (1945-2020)

Nat Hazards (2012) 64:729-749 DOI 10.1007/s11069-012-0267-5

ORIGINAL PAPER

Producing landslide-susceptibility maps for regional planning in data-scarce regions

Jerome V. De Graff · H. Charles Romesburg · Rafi Ahmad · James P. McCalpin



De Graff Geoenvironnientat Diannes 2014, 115

Geoenvironmental Disasters

Open Access

REVIEW

Improvement in quantifying debris flow risk for post-wildfire emergency response

Jerome V De Graff^{1,3}



are 3 Two maps showing the same approximately 7.321 he area lose Figure 13, in both must 24 and 80 in Allow & n. a. Stationed stars the shart was tripped hard-t









De Graff Geoenvisierenetal Diseases (2018) 5/7 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40677-018-0090-e

Geoenvironmental Disasters

Open Access

REVIEW

A rationale for effective post-fire debris flow mitigation within forested terrain

Jerome V. De Grafio



Hazard to Roads in Burned Areas JEROME V. DE GRAFF¹ USDA Forest Service, 1500 Tollhouse Road, Clevis, CA 93611

Uncertainty Associated with Evaluating Rockfall

BILL SHEEMERDENE Olympic National Foreist, 1833 Black Lake Boulevard, SW, Olympia, WA 98517

ALAN GALLEGOS USDA Forest Service, 1990 Tollhease Road, Clevis, CA 93611

DAVID ANNIS. Eldorado National Forest, 100 Forse Road, Placerville, CA 95667



Technical Note The Challenge of Improving Identification of Rockfall Hazard after Wildfires



JEROME V. DE GRAFF ALAN J. GALLEGOS

USDA Forest Service, 1600 Tollhouse Rd., Chron, CA 94611

Hazard Recognition – when and where
Hazard Assessment and Mapping
Fan Mapping - Inundation Modeling
Hydrology and Precipitation
Meteorology and Forecasting
Science Communication

HIGHLIGHTS OF OUR PROGRESS



Fire Frequency – Last 100-years Los Angeles





Fans, Fire History, Debris Howser

Urbanization of Alluvial Fans





162 Debris Basins





Google Earth 2009

Haines DB



Mulally DB Overtopped



Mentimeter

than 20 canyons on to urbanized homes damaged, >\$500 million

DF Hazard Assessment and Mapping

Soil Burn Severity Early Years and Today





2007: Gartner's Volume Model used in Poomacha Fire



2003: Cannon - Grand Prix Old Fires



2013: USGS Post-Wildfire DF Hazard Mapping Goes Online





Refinements Include: Continuous parameterization, segment probability and volume, different design storms, Database download

DF Hazard Assessment and Mapping

2017: Staley – Inversion Model



Dennis M. Staley **, Jacquelyn A. Negri *, Jason W. Kean *, Jayme L. Laber *, Anne C. Tillery *, Ann M. Youberg *

* U.S. Gaskgirol Sarvey, Galden (1) USA National Weather Servers, Los Argeles - Owend, OA, USA

¹ U.S. Geological Servey, Altropartyse, NM, USA ² Artistic Geological Servey, Tacson, AZ USA

2019: USGS – Woodbury Fire, AZ



2008: Youberg - Pre-Fire Hazard Map Mengimeter



OPEN-FILE REPORT OFR-08-08 Arizona Geological Survey www.azgs.az.gov



DELINEATING POST-WILDFIRE DEARTS FLOW HATARDS. FOR PRE-FIRE MITIGATION, PINE AND STRAWBERRY, AREZONA A FEMA 5% INITIATIVE STUDY

Ann Weighters

June 30, 2008





2019: Staley Pre-Fire Hazard Mapping



Vegetation Type (EVT) and estimating potential debris-flow hazards, including likelihood, volume, and rainfall intensity-duration threshold. dNBR = differenced normalized burn ratio, BARC4 = burned area reflectance classification (4 classes).

Fan Mapping

2019: Calhoun et al. – Debris Fan Mapping After Fire, OR



Die Sulahity for Energency Response Stappe and Operations

Ursafable - Highest-Hatani Moderate Subativity - Moderate Hatan

Staty Area

 7th International Conference on Debris-Flow Hazards Mitigation

Post-fire rockfall and debris-flow hazard zonation in the Eagle Creek fire burn area, Columbia River Gorge, Oregon: A tool for emergency managers and first responders

N.C. Calhoun**, W.J. Burns*, S. Hayb, D.M. Staleys and J.W. Keans

⁶Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, 800 NE Oregon St, Portland, OR 97232, USA ⁸Oregon Department of Thomportation. 123 NW Flanders St, Portland, OR 97209, USA ⁶Geological Hazards Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, 1711 Blance St, Goldon, CO 86401, USA

2018: McCoy (CGS, Colorado) – Fan Hazard Mapping



2020: Morelan (CGS) – In Progress Fan Mapping





Inundation Modeling – DF Risk

2019: Kean et al. – Inundation Dynamics (Fragility)

Inundation, flow dynamics, and damage in the 9 January 2018 Montecito debris-flow event, California, USA: Opportunities and challenges for post-wildfire risk assessment

J.W. Kean', D.M. Staley', J.T. Lancaster', F.K. Rengers', B.J. Swanson', J.A. Coe', J.L. Hernandez', A.J. Sigman', K.E. Allstadt', and D.N. Lindsay'

U.S. Geological Survey, RO. Box 25046, MS 966, Derver, Colorado 80225, USA California Geological Survey, 801 K Street, MS 12-32, Secremento, Colifornia 95630, USA "California Geological Servey, 320 West 4" Street, Suite 850, Los Angeles, California 90013, USA California Geological Servey, 6205 Airport Read, Rodeling, California 96002, USA

undation Fragility Corves

We define fragility curves for debris flow inundation depth 15) using a Identia Department of Economy and Ers. Protection (CAL ERE) damage rection geodetabase (CAL FIRE, 2018) and our field observations of inumion depth. For every structure damaged in the 2018 Monteolto debrie flor



2019: Bessette-Kirton et al. -Inundation Model Testing

7th International Conference on Debris-Flow Hazards Mitigation

An evaluation of debris-flow runout model accuracy and complexity in Montecito, California: Towards a framework for regional inundation-hazard forecasting

Erin K. Bessette-Kirton**, Jason W. Kean*, Jeffrey A. Coe*, Francis K. Rengers*, and Dennis M. Stalev^a





Fig. 2. Modeled introduction area from a) FLO-2D, b) LAHARZ debris flow, and c) LAHARZ lahar, showing the true positive area (dark blue), false positive area (light blue), and false negative area (striped) resulting from each model run, in comparison to the mapped inundation area of debris flows on San Yishto Creek (Kean et al. 2019; Fig. 1). True positive rate (TPR), false positive rate (FPR), and false negative rate (FNR) are defined as the true positive, false positive, and false negative areas divided by the observed insudation area, respectively. Threat score (TS) is defined as TPR divided by the sum of TPR, FPR, and FNR







2020: CGS - In Progress **RAMMS** Calibration



2001: Moody and Martin

HYDROLOGICAL PROCESSES Hydrol. Process. 15, 2981-2993 (2001) DOI: 10.1002/hyp.386

Post-fire, rainfall intensity-peak discharge relations for three mountainous watersheds in the western USA

John A. Moody10 and Deborah A. Martin2 ¹US Geological Survey, Muil Step 413, Denser Federal Center, Lakewood, CO 80225, USA ²US Geological Survey, 3215 Marine Street, Boulder, CO 80303, USA



2010: Cannon et al.





Relations Between Rainfall and Postfire Debris-Flow and Flood Magnitudes for Emergency-Response Planning, San Gabriel Mountains, Southern California

By Susan H. Cannon,1 Eric M. Boldt,2 Jason W. Kean,1 Jayme L. Laber,2 and Dennis M. Staley1 *U.S. Geological Survey National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. National Weather Service



Precipitation

2011: Kean et al.

In situ measurements of post-fire debris flows in southern California: Comparisons of the timing and magnitude of 24 debris-flow events with rainfall and soil moisture conditions Jason W. Kean,1 Dennis M. Staley,1 and Susan H. Cannon

Received 25 February 2011; revised 12 August 2011; accepted 17 August 2011; published 5 November 2011.

2012: Staley et al.

Original Paper addates 001101007/s10946-012-0941-9 Received: 29 September 2011 Accepted: 7 June 2012 D Springer-Verlag lautide the USA) 201 in southern California

Dennis M. Staley Jason W. Kean Susan H. Cannon Kevin M. Schmidt Jayme L. Laber Objective definition of rainfall intensity-duration thresholds for the initiation of post-fire debris flows

2017: Staley et al.

| | Geomergebeilege 2011 (2011) beib- leiz | |
|----------|--|--|
| STERIO A | Contents lists available at ScienceDvett | |
| States. | Geomorphology | |
| ELSEVIER | jaurnal hamapage: www.eleaviar.com/locate/geomorph | |

Prediction of spatially explicit rainfall intensity-duration thresholds for post-fire debris-flow generation in the western United States

Dennis M. Staley **, Jacquelyn A. Negri *, Jason W. Kean *, Jayme L. Laber *, Anne C. Tillery *, Ann M. Youberg * 25 Geological Servey, Goldon US 184 Nazional Venative Servey, Los Angolio - Deserd UA 188 US Geological Servey, Allegoregat, NM, USA Antonio Geological Servey, Taccon, AD, 189



Meteorology and Atmospheric Sciences

2004: NOAA/USGS



2011: Jorgensen et al.

Value of a Dual-Polarized Gap-Filling Radar in Support of Southern California Post-Fire Debris-Flow Warnings

> DAVID P. JORGENSEN NOAA/National Severe Storm: Laboratory, Norman, Oklahoma

MAIANA N. HANSHAW AND KEVIN M. SCHMIDT

U.S. Geological Survey, Mento Park, California JAYME L. LABER

NOAA/National Weather Service, Oxnard, California

DENNIS M. STALEY AND JASON W. KEAN Central Region Geologic Hazards Team, U.S. Geological Survey, Danser, Colorado

PEDRO J. RESTREPO NOAA/National Weather Service/Office of Hydrologic Development, Silver Spring, Maryland

2018: Oakley et al. 1/9 Debris Flow

Brief communication: Meteorological and climatological conditions associated with the 9 January 2018 post-fire debris flows in Montecito and Carpinteria, California, USA

Nina S. Oakley^{1,2}, Forest Cannon², Robert Munroe³, Jeremy T. Lancaster⁴, David Gomberg³, and F. Martin Ralph²







No Data



2019: Duarte et al.



Debris Flow Danger

Development and implementation of tools to monitor and forecast post-wildfire debris flows

Jorge Duarte*. Jonathan J. Gourley, Paul Gauthier, Dennis Staley, Humberto Vergara

Cooperative Institute for Mesoscale Meteorological Studies The University of Oklahoma Norman, OK, LELA

Light Rain

Traces 0.161/h 0.321/h 0.641/h



Debris Flow Likely

>0.4" >0.6" >0.8"

Science and Hazard Communication

≥USGS

Propered in Cooperation with the California Geological Servery

Southern California-Wildfires and Debris Flows

Introduction '

Wildland fines are inevitable in the western United States, Expan sion of man-made developments into fee-prone wildlands has created situations where wildfires can destroy lives and property, as can the flooding and debute flows that are common in the aftermath of the fores. Fast-moving, highly destructive debris flows triggered by interve rainfall are one of the next langerous post-fire hastards. Such debris flows are particularly dangerour because they tend to secur with inle warning. Their mass and speed make them particularly destructive: debets flows can strip regetation, block drainages, damage invacuaris



Landvlide Hatseds Program is

participating in a multi-agency cooperative effort to strentigate debris flows in barned areas of southern California and other parts of the western United States. Participating agencies are the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USEA) Forest Service, the Natural Resources Conservation Service, and the California, Colorado, and Montana Geolysical Surverys. The objective of this project is to develop methods needed to estimate the locations, probabil ity of occurrence, and size of potentially destructive debvis flows. Public officials can use this information to plan and execute emergency response and post-fire relubilitation

Analysis of data collected from studies of debris three following wildfires can answer many of the questions fundamental to post-fire hazard assessments-what and witre, where, where, how big, and how others?

8-----

11.3 Reportant of the low-to-1.2 Restanted Servers



Photograph of a typical humail hillalope in southern California. Photograph liv

What We Know About Past-Fire Debris Flows

What and Why? Post-fee debris flows generally are triggered by one of two processes: surface proxion caused by rainfull ranoff, and land-bding caused by infidention of rainfull into the ground. Ranoll dominated processes are by far the mostpersplent because fires commonly voltace the infiltraton capacity of soils, which increases ranoff and aroaion. Infiltration processors are much loss common. hat prolonged heavy raisis may increase soil invisitate even after a wildfire. The worned soil then may fail. roducing infiltration triggered landslides.

Where? Although debris flows can occur in areas underlain by nearly any rock type, the areas most likely to produce dobris flows are those underlain by sedmentary or metamorphic rocks that have more than about 65 percent of the area burned at moderate to high severities. In addition, debris flows are most frequently produced from deep (> 20").

Fact State (MR), 1988

National Weather Service **Post Wildfire Flash Flood** and Debris Flow Guide



Created by National Weather Service Los Angeles/Oxnard August 2015

www.weather.gov/losangeles

Weather-Ready Nation









For more information visit the following sites:

Who Can Help?

This section describes many of the programs and services provided by agencies and non-profits for communities and individuals who have been affected by wildfire. Please be aware that programs and funding levels can change from year to year, and assistance may not always be

PRINT PAGE









June 2019







California Department of Conservation California Geological Survey

Questions for Jeremy?

The last slide went by fast, so maybe I missed it, but how do you ensure the latest science is being used by the various agencies that need it/want it, how do you know they are receiving your products and using the science?

I get a lot of small landowners asking questions about post-fire erosion control specific to their area. Issues with invasive species, which method, costs expected etc. Is there a good resource for non-agency folks or is there not enough information? Would good forest management reduce the amount of debris flow after a fire.

Having more radar data and gauge data is great. Can you talk about how we solve the issue of getting this data into the warning software.

Seems like the Forest Service and National Park Service managers are well aware of the BAER program, post fire risks. BLM seems to be behind the curve as far as manager's knowledge. How do we educate BLM management?



!#*&!\$





Science Needs Panel Discussion

- Q&A Session to follow; questions asked through Mentimeter
- Speaker bios available at: [https://aftertheflames.com/sciencespeakers/]



Science Needs Panel

Panelists

Nina Oakley, Moderator

- Jeremy Lancaster, Supervising Engineering Geologist, CA Geological Survey
- Dave Callery, Hydrologist/BAER Lead, USFS
- Cara Farr, National BAER Coordinator, USFS
- Rich Schwab, National BAER Coordinator, NPS
- Katherine Rowden, Hydrology Program Manager, National Weather Service/NOAA
- Don Lindsay, Senior Engineering Geologist, **CA Geological Survey**
- Stephen Brown, Hydrologic Engineer, USACE Albuquerque District

Prompting Questions

- 1. scares you?
- 2.
- 3. answers?
- 4. comfortable?



Thinking about post-fire hazards: Where do you feel "blind" and it

How can research community share information with practitioners?

Do you struggle with looking at research and finding too many

Of all your post fire science needs, where do you feel the most





POST-WILDFIRE SCIENCE NEEDS PANEL

JEREMY LANCASTER CALIFORNIA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY GEOLOGIC AND LANDSLIDE MAPPING PROGRAM MANAGER

AFTER THE FLAMES CONFERENCE - VIRTUAL 19 MAY 2020



CALIFORNIA'S CHALLENGES

Urbanized alluvial fan floodplains

California's Statewide Hazard Mitigation Plan (SHMP):

As climate change affects the length of the wildfire season, it is possible that a higher frequency of large fires may occur into late fall, when conditions remain dry, and then be followed immediately by intense rains early in the winter, as occurred with the Thomas Fire in December 2017 and subsequent Montecito and Carpinteria debris flows in January 2018.

The SHMP also identifies critical life-safety information gaps in the State's hazard mitigation efforts, concluding that:

There has been no state program to evaluate or map the types of landslides that cause the most casualties. Although the Alluvial Fan Task Force provided some guidance on where runout could affect developing areas in southern California, the need for a statewide assessment of debris flow potential [including flash floods] on hillsides and alluvial fans is not being met.







CALIFORNIA'S NEEDS

We lack basic data Hydrology data

- Stream gages
- Precipitation gages
- Radar coverage

For monitoring runoff response!

We lack maps

- Alluvial fan landform
- Active and inactive areas
- Stratification of lower and higher hazard fans or areas on those fans
- Alluvial fan risk maps in a changing climate
- Fire and flood

We lack understanding of thresholds of response, in:

- Geologic
- Geomorphic
- Hydroclimatic
- ...domains

We lack topographic data





Questions for Science Needs panel?

For Katherine Rowden: How often does flash flood guidance change after fires burn?

Dave - Do you find annual recurrence interval rainfall info helpful, or does it matter?

My take is that community planners think of alluvial fans as dormant like volcanos. How do we improve our messaging?

!#*&!\$

Why does BAER hydrology seem to always underestimate flooding?

Has any one looked at using space based rainfall data? I am not sure if it is available fast enough?

What are some ways you suggest sharing of information can be done efficiently between agencies - between federal agencies, between federal and state and from fed to state to local? is there/should there be a single repository? Who would manage?

Both Don and Stephen alluded to sizing structures for post-fire conditions, has consideration been given to temporary structures or crossings to accommodate the changing conditions prior to sizing a permanent structure?



To the best of your knowledge, has anyone adopted machine learning modeling for runoff for post fire environments?



Questions for Science Needs panel?

How can we get federal agencies BAER teams to look at downstream impacts that are off of federal lands? Change policy to allow them to look at those needs where work on federal lands can make positive impacts off federal land.

What is being done in the Southwest to better predict runoff?

Jeremy - how is the work on the post fire tool kit in CA addressing science needs identified? Is the task force working on the right things?

to the panel: What is the best way to relay your science results to managers dealing with these issues, and helping them to apply it quickly and efficiently?

what is being done in the southwest to better predict runoff?

Has anyone on the panel worked with social scientists before regarding the communication of post-fire hazards issue? How was it implemented and how well did it work?

How do you collaborate with biologists (botany/soil/microbial) on post-fire mitigation? Who do you collaborate with?

How would you like uncertainty be presented in model estimates? color code map, probability, likelihood, the model is right or wrong



Wondering if some of the panelists could touch on their thoughts about the use of funds for and benefits of fire suppression compared to post-fire stabilization and rehabilitation.


Questions for Science Needs panel?

How useful are wildfires prediction for the following season, based on, e.g., satellite soil moisture data.

There has been a lot of work done on probabilities for debris flows and in creating rainfall thresholds. The NWS in a forecast sense is moving more and more toward probabilistic rainfall forecasts. How can we match up those probailities in practice?

Does NWS or others have a detailed database of past post-fire flash floods and debris flows coupled with estimated precipitation rates that generated these? If so, does it include high rainfall intensity non-events?

Much pressure to "capture value" in burned dead/dying (or even minimally at-risk) timber, justified by roadside hazard in local National Forest. Also protect roads with culvert installation. How to deal with this as community member?

Given the uncertainties in clear water modeling and bulking factors should we consider alternative methods to evaluate risk from post fire flooding/debris flows. Colorado has developed a fluvial hazard zone mapping program that may be a good alternat

thoughts use of funds fo fire suppression compared to post fire stabilization and rehab

All: We heard of many concerns and issues. What issue would Each panelist like to see addressed first? What is their priority#1?



Jeremy- do all States is use same method to map alluvial fans?

Do we need to prioritize designing interactive tools/models for post-fire hazards? Or are static products (e.g. maps) more beneficial?



Questions for Science Needs panel?

Why don't the BAER teams include a silviculture and fuels specialist that will help in the assessment of risks associated with future fire events and ecological restoration?

At some point I would like to hear a discussion about the use of runoff models verses debris flow models. What are the differences in the two and why use both? Or why not?

Jeremy and Don - are you seeing communities being more willing to stand-by CGS recommendations on post-fire risk/evacuations/re-occupation of impacted areas in the post-Thomas Fire world?

Inciweb can be an effective way of communicating postfire information with all cooperating agencies able to post information and contacts. The public has access to it also.

do you think stream stats needs updating to better support BAER model estimates? how?

How standardized are BAER reports from various agencies? If not, would that be possible? If standardized , these reports would be easier for machines to parse and analyze.

Thank you so much for referencing the Joint Fire Science Program - the regionally-based Fire Science Exchanges provide support, online resources and website for exchange of science, briefs, webinars, videos, and links about many wildfire issues -



How do we make sure that we differentiate modeling threats that can be mitigated with treatments from threats like larger debris flows that cannot be mitigated?

What is the point in running both hydrologic models and debris flow models? What r the key differences?



Questions for Science Needs panel?

The topics supported by the Fire Science Exchange Networks embrace most issues regarding wildland fire, particularly fire ecology, management, post-fire effects, social science and community preparedness, & many other topics. Glad we can be of help!

How can BAER increase collaboration with microbiologists, seeing as how soil microbes can be key to soil aggregation and mitigation efforts?

I'm concerned with apparent lack of follow-through from BAER to longer-term (6 months to 4 years post-fire) rehab and management, particularly discounting of hydrologic concerns that may inhibit timber salvage.

What have been your experiences with funding post fire mitigation projects /actions, and are there improvements to those funding sources being considered to allow a more timely response?

How could the Federal agencies better coordinate the interagency development of post-fire products, services, and science.

 \sim

would pre-disturbance fuels work help to reduce post fire impacts? If so has any work been conducted in an area that has experienced a disturbance?



Can the risk on alluvial fans ever Be mitigated enough or do people need to be removed from them to stay safe



Enjoy a 5 Minute Break!







Polling: Science Needs

- Follow along using Mentimeter
- in real time



• Panelists will discuss as results display



Rank post-fire concerns based on your job responsibilities or research focus:





Assessing and mitigating flash flood and debris flow hazards



What three words or phrases describe your post-fire challenges?









How do you rate these statements?

Strongly disagree







Where do you typically get your post-fire science information? Choose all that apply.





What mechanisms would you like to see used more frequently for communicating post-fire science? Choose all that apply.







Scientists featured in popular media news articles



What component of agency post-fire assessments is most useful to you?







31

Debris flow hazard assessments



| Downstream recommendations | community outreach | Mc |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| Survivor/Resource Connections | Community perspective | une |
| All values at risk. | !#*&!\$ | res |





odel and data uncertainty.

ncerntainty

sources available to private ndowners impacted by fire



| Downstream analysis flooding | Public perception about messaging | de |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| Socioeconomic information, who is impacted or at risk, most vulnerable | better mentoring | со |
| Fluvial Hazard Zone mapping | funding to address mitigation recs | sus do |





ebris flow inundation estimates

ommunication plan

spended sediment transport ownstream



| Flash flood rainfall thresholds like USGS does for debris flows | Community engagement | М |
|--|----------------------|----|
| Thresholds | Downstream effects | In |
| Inundation Risk Maps | Community pitteach | De |





ore on off forest effects/risks

plementation plan

ownstream off-forest hazard sessments



| Impacts beyond federal boundaries. | Downstream recommendations | Un |
|------------------------------------|---|-----|
| Downstream values | long term rehab opportunities and needs. | Но |
| Debris outflow locations | Better assessment of downstream off fed land risks | Tro |





ncertainty!

ow risk changes over time.

adeoffs



| Available programs/funding | temporal risk estimates | coo flov |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Confidence intervals | Inundation mapping | Dor |
| Community communication | Downstream impacts and threats | Fol |





oordinating risk from debrisows and flooding

ownstream values at risk

ollow-up report



| Like flood inundation mapping but for potential debris flows. Visualization tools!!! | !#*&!\$ | |
|--|------------------------------|----|
| Visualization tools!!! | inundation potential | c |
| Coordination contact lists | probabilistic interpretation | Im |
| Reforestation need/potential | | |





ownstream treatments

ommunity outreach plan

pacts on indigenous ommunities and their omelands.









Follow up and reporting on outcomes

Funding for mitigations

post-fire debris flow and flood history



| Mitigation resources | Mapping to the pour point | dek thre |
|--|---|-------------|
| One location to send impacted people to for additional resources. There is not one site they can get to key information. | Plan for community moving forward - what does community need to do moving forward, in what order, and with what resources | Dov |
| Treating all lands | working with those impacted to identify achievable mitigation actions | Inte |





bris flow vs. flash flood resholds

ownstream debris flow, not just ose on federal land.

egration with local media and overnment



| vegetation burn severity | A publically available post fire database with field measurements, modeling, resources at risk and recommendations | Predi or de |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| Impacts to marine environment | An end user education component | Deve what users |
| Monitoring for adaptive learning and management | Mentoring | be |





iction of potential return interval(s) of mass wasting bris flow events that might occur in the same place.

lopment of a process flow map, which partners do task and in what order to get to the multiple end

etter mentoring



| public outreach | Identify mitigation resources | Veç |
|---|---|-----|
| The framework for handing off to the forest, complete with responsible parties. Things get dropped all the time, and it is infuriating! | I'd be really interested in some sort of follow up that brings together the "science info doesn't always meet my needs" response and the "I would like more webinars/virtual conferences" responses. | Co |
| Political and social implications of mitigation techniques | Make assessments easier to find | Ext |





egetation recovery

ommunicating results

tent of debris flow runout



| We should poll folks to find out what would meet their science needs and do webinars on the listed topics. | Pist-fire monitoring | Sil |
|---|-------------------------------|-----|
| Recommendations for immediate burn-out of scorched but not consumed fuels (as future fire hazard issue,) and interface with wildlife habitat. | Uncertainty in the numbers | |
| Downstream effects | Post-mitigation effectiveness | |
| | Fost-mitigation enectiveness | |





mplification of post-Fire sessments for public onsumption

ncertainty

odel Certainty Assessment



| Managing expectations | Downstream | Fu |
|---|---|----|
| Impacts beyond federal boundaries | long-term soil impacts | Ri |
| Introduction of new technologies and mentor ship on thes like drones, models, model parameters, iterative modeling efforts and coordination between modeling groups. | Better coordination between USFS and states. | Ar |





Inding to implement mitigation a timely manner.

sk over time

nalysis at smaller watershed ale



| Rainfall Thresholds | Which channel reaches are erosional/depositional | Inu |
|--|--|----------|
| Cost effectiveness evaluation of recommendations | Local capacity to support agency assessments. | Inu |
| Inundation mapping on and below forest | Consideration of non-federal lands | Mo BA |





undation mapping

undation mapping

onitoring and effectiveness of AER plan



| Mapping of areas where salvage would cumulatively impact hydrologic concerns, vs where it would be less impactful. | Vegetation recovery | M |
|--|---|------------------|
| Flood risk changes with recovery | Assessments of what actually happens compared to what the report was saying. | Be rec ris |
| Cost estimates compared with those for mitigation and prevention. | Tools for real-time debris flow monitoring. Camera or laser systems for very high risk areas. | Lowo |





onitoring and results.

etter Tools for mapping covery and how it can inform k

ng-term effects of fire on ater supply and water quality.



| Agencies requiring mudflow/debris-flow analyses in addition to clear-water modeling for post-fire recovery development | Contact points for private landowners including as many agencies as possible. | Re lec we |
|--|---|-----------------|
| Limitations of modeling and specifically saying how much the numbers should be trusted and presented | funding opportunities | Pro tre |
| In-channel erosion processes | Co-production of science and It's application | |





lease of report to public, or at ast being made available on eb site(s).

e fire assessments made on eated areas to see if thinning d burning affects the models.

fectiveness monitoring. There is never a Feedback



| Science based desired conditions to guide long term landscape restoration | Some of these areas are "natural" mobile drainages. At what point do we say this is a natural event? | Up Sc |
|---|---|----------|
| Microbes "Pocket-guide" for community managers and communities about what post-fire programs belong to which federal and state agencies and how they work. | follow up discussion between forests who applied BAER within the region | M |
| | Use of citizen scientists to help generate obs for post fire effects | |





odates as the burn scar ages

oil Health Assessments

icrobe recovery monitoring



Wind erosion potential and its effects on air quality, water, and landscapes

Rainfall atlas for the Pacific Northwest

Closer interaction and coordination with invasive species management efforts.





Mitigation innovations



Where do you primarily seek precipitation forecast information for post-fire hazards?







I need more or improved scientific information on (two words or phrases):









Mentimeter Thank you for participating in Day 1! Please sign up for Day 2 if you have not already.

- Tomorrow's schedule, all times in MDT:
- 10 AM: Introduction and summary of Day 1
- 10:15 AM: Science Research Panel
- 11:30 AM: Interactive discussion on research directions w/ Mentimeter
- 12:15 PM: Update on WFLC and WGA efforts
- 12:50 PM: Other networks and collaborative efforts
- 1:20 PM: Wrap-up, finish by 1:30 PM

